PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

То:				PCT
see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file see form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. International filing data PCT/JP2005/002386 09.02.2005			lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 13.02.2004
International Patent Clas H04B1/28 Applicant	esification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification a	and IPC	
MATSUSHITA ELE	CTRIC INDUST	RIAL CO., LTD.		
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII In a demand for written opinion the applicant of International Brewill not be so o	Basis of the opin Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cit. Certain docume Certain defects I Certain observation Tion Tinternational prelication of the International prelication of the International prelication of the Internation of the International prelication of the Internation o	invention mement under Rule 43bis ations and explanations ents cited in the international appations on the internation eminary examination is al Preliminary Examinin ty other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written one	ard to novelty, inventions. 1.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such standard such standard such standard such standard such standard such such such such such such such such	ill usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where e chosen IPEA has notifed the ational Searching Authority
submit to the If months from th whichever expi	PEA a written reply le date of mailing d ires later. lons, see Form PC	y together, where appro of Form PCT/ISA/220 o	poriate, with amendm	nents, before the expiration of three n of 22 months from the priority date,
Name and mailing add	ress of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	Software Palone
D-80298 Tel. +49	8 Munich 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 9 89 2399 - 4465	656 epmu d	Ciccarese, C	89 2399-7302

10/560622

IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PT0 14 DEC 2003 International application No. PCT/JP2005/002386

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion	
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	n
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the follow language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	ing
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. type of material:	
	☐ a sequence listing	
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material:	
	in written format	
	☐ in computer readable form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing:	
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.	
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating the has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	ereto ıl
4.	Additional comments:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/002386

	x No. III Non-establishment o Dicability	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial					
The	e questions whether the claimed rious), or to be industrially applic	invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non able have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,						
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-24						
bed	cause:						
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
⊠	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1-24 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	see separate sheet						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form	☐ has not been furnished					
		☐ does not comply with the standard					
	the computer readable form	☐ has not been furnished					
		☐ does not comply with the standard					
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
	☐ See separate sheet for further details						
		the international application					
The f	ollowing defects in the form or c	ontents of the international application have been noted:					
se	ee separate sheet						
В	ox No. VIII Certain observati	ons on the international application					
The f	ollowing observations on the cla	urity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the					

see separate sheet

Citations:

D1: US 2003/153294 A1 (HATA YOSHIYUKI) 14 August 2003 (2003-08-14)
D2: US 2001/046268 A1 (SHARMA ALOK) 29 November 2001 (2001-11-29)

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. Notwithstanding the non-establishment of opinion, please note that, prima facie, claims 14-18 do not seem to involve an inventive step when read in the light of documents D1, D2 and of the knowledge of a skilled person.

A full examination of the claims may not, however, be completed for the reasons exposed in Re Item VIII.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 1. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

3. Although claims 1, 9, 14, 19, 23 and 24 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)



is sought and in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subjectmatter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection.

Hence, said claims do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.